## United States Patent and Trademark Office



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP Song K. Jung 1900 K Street, N.W. Washington DC 20006

COPY MAILED JUL 2 3 2008

In re Application of Eugenio Cruz Garcia Application No. 10/678,219 Filed: October 6, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 5724.017.24-US

**DECISION ON PETITION** 

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed June 26, 2008, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) mailed, July 30, 2007, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of one (1) month or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer from the mail date of the Notice. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a) were obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on August 31, 2007.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of an Amendment After Non-Final, (2) the petition fee of \$1540, and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay.

There is no indication that the person signing the petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified patent. However, in accordance with 37CFR 1.34(a), the signature of Mark R. Kresloff appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he is authorized to represent the particular party in whose behalf he acts. If petitioner desires to receive future correspondence regarding this patent, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted.

Additionally, it is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Denise Williams at (571) 272-8930.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 3635 for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the reply received.

Andrea Smith Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions